

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/066,238	01/30/2002	Mehmet Sayal	10010317-1	2777
7590 11/30/2006			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			GREIMEL, JOCELYN	
Intellectual Property Administration				D. 1000 1411 (DED
P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			3693	
			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/066,238	SAYAL ET AL.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Jocelyn Greimel	3693
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		I
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	·		
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 J This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under the	s action is non-final.  ance except for formal mat	· •
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) <u>6-7, 15, 18-20</u> is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Applicati	ion Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	,	
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureates the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
2)	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 

Art Unit: 3693

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This non-final rejection is in response to Applicant's application filed 30 January 2002. Claims 1-20 are pending and are presented to be examined upon their merits.

Claims 1, 8 and 15 are independent claims.

## Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: it appears the word "includes" is missing from the preamble section, in the language "the interaction standard." Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities: the wording of the preamble is not clear. The section "a second enterprise in comprising the steps of" is unclear.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: the claim describes "when

Art Unit: 3693

communication with an external trading partner is needed" but does not describe what happens when the communication with an external trading partner is not needed within the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

# Specification/Claims

- 6. The use of the trademark or copyright of "RosettaNet" has been noted in this application. If the term is being used in a copyright or trademark sense, it should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology. Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner, which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.
- 7. Additionally, claims 7 and 18-20 contain template names such as "XQL" and "XML" but the acronyms are not clear in the claim language. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary

Art Unit: 3693

skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 9. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 10. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stewart et al (US Patent No. 7,051,072, hereinafter Stewart). In reference to claims 1 and 8, Stewart discloses a method and system:
  - a. receiving a message having the first data representation from the internal business process (abstract; Fig. 1; col. 4, line 65 col. 6, line 14); and
  - b. automatically converting the message having the first data representation into a corresponding message having the communication format specified by the interaction standard (abstract; Fig. 1; col. 4, line 65 col. 6, line 14).
- 11. In reference to claims 2 and 9-10, Stewart discloses a method and system:
  - c. receiving a message in the communication format from the trading partner (abstract; Fig. 1; col. 4, line 65 col. 6, line 14); and

Art Unit: 3693

d. automatically converting the received message having the communication format specified by the interaction standard into a corresponding message having the first data representation (abstract; Fig. 1).

- 12. In reference to claims 3-4 and 12-13, Stewart discloses a method and system:
  - e. wherein the interaction standard is one of a peer-to-peer (P2P) standard and a business-to-business (B2B) standard (col. 1, lines 50-55; col. 4, line 65 col. 5, line 21);
  - f. wherein the interaction standard is one of RosettaNet and the Common Business Library (CBL) (col. 4, line 65 col. 5, line 21).
- 13. In reference to claims 5, 14 and 16, Stewart discloses:
  - g. a business internal business process includes at least one workflow;
  - h. communication with an external trading partner includes the step of polling a workflow server (col. 18, line 49 col. 19, line 57).
- 14. In reference to claim 6, Stewart discloses a method and system:
  - i. wherein the step of automatically converting the message having the first data representation into a corresponding message having the communication format specified by the interaction standard retrieving a service definition (abstract, Fig. 1);

Art Unit: 3693

j. retrieving a mark-up language document template; and preparing a mark-up language message that is based on the mark-up language document template (abstract; Fig. 1; col. 4, line 65 – col. 6, line 14).

- 15. In reference to claim 7, Stewart discloses a method and system:
  - k. wherein the step of automatically converting the received message having the communication format specified by the interaction standard into a corresponding message having the first data representation includes:
    - i. retrieving at least one XQL query (abstract, Fig. 1 and Fig. 25); and
    - ii. executing the XQL query to extract the data from the reply (abstract, Fig. 1 and Fig. 25).
- 16. In reference to claim 11, Stewart discloses the method and system:
  - I. Wherein the trading partner conversation manager automatically maps a first message with the first data representation into a corresponding first message in the communication format (abstract; Fig. 1); and
  - m. Automatically maps a second message in the communication format into a corresponding second message in the first data representation (abstract; Fig. 1).
- 17. In reference to claim 15, Steward discloses a method and system:
  - n. including determining whether communication with an external trading partner is needed (abstract; Fig. 1);

- o. when communication with an external trading partner is needed performing the following (abstract; Fig. 1);
- p. determining whether the communication is inbound or outbound (abstract; Fig. 1);
- q. when the communication is inbound, performing inbound communication processing (abstract; Fig. 1); and
- r. when the communication is outbound, performing outbound communication processing (abstract; Fig. 1).
- 18. In reference to claim 17, Steward discloses a method and system wherein the step of determining whether communication is inbound or outbound includes the step of determining whether a service type is a send message or a receive message (abstract, Fig. 1).
- 19. In reference to claim 18, Steward discloses a method and system wherein the step of performing inbound communication processing includes the steps of retrieving a service name and XQL queries; parsing the request and extracting data; starting the service and passing data; obtaining service results; retrieving an XML template; preparing an XML response; sending the XML message; and returning control to the workflow server (abstract; Fig. 1; Fig. 25).

Art Unit: 3693

20. In reference to claim 19, Steward discloses a method and system wherein communication processing includes the steps of retrieving a service definition; retrieving an XML template; and step of performing outbound preparing an XML response; and sending the XML message (abstract, Fig. 1, Fig. 25).

21. In reference to claim 20, Steward discloses a method and system wherein the step of performing outbound communication processing further includes the steps of determining if a response is expected; when a response is not expected, returning control to the workflow server; when a response is expected, waiting for the response, retrieving service name and XQL queries, parsing the response and extracting data, and returning control to the workflow server (abstract, Fig. 1, Fig. 25).

#### Conclusion

22. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jocelyn Greimel whose telephone number is (571) 272-3734. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday 8:30 AM - 4:30 PM EST. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (571) 272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/066,238

Art Unit: 3693

23. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jocelyn Greimel Examiner, Art Unit 3693 November 21, 2006 Page 9

PRIMARY EXAMINER